( Under the Pr	MAY 1 6 2005 U	no persons	05 / / 8	S. Patent and 1	PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 Frademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE formation unless it displays a valid OMB control number.	[AF
TRANSMITTAL			Application Number		73	/
		Filing Date		July 31, 2	July 31, 2003	
FORM		First Named Inventor		Warren M	Warren M. Farnworth et al.	
		Art Unit		2829		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial fili			Examiner Name	Russell M	. Kobert	
	of Pages in This Submission	mingy	Attorney Docket Number	MI22-2379		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)						
Amendm  Amendm  A  Extension  Express  Informati  Certified Documer  Reply to Incomple	ismittal Form fee Attached ent/Reply ifter Final iffidavits/declaration(s) in of Time Request Abandonment Request on Disclosure Statement Copy of Priority it(s) Missing Parts/ ite Application leply to Missing Parts inder 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	F F C C C Remark	Prawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application  Power of Attorney, Revocal Change of Correspondence  Terminal Disclaimer  Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)  Landscape Table on C	tion e Address	After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):  PTO Return Postcard Receipt Check no. 146522 in the amount of \$1,350.00  Examiner Interview Summay II, 2005	ary of
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Name . Wells St. John P.S.						
Signature Drawn						
Printed name	. =					
Date 5-16-05			Reg. No. 40,045			

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signature Sent via Express Mail Label No. EV 549568657 US Typed or printed name

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

MAY 1 6 2005

Application Serial No. 10/632,273

Examiner Interview Summary of May 11, 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No
Filing Date July 31, 2003
nventor
Assignee
Group Art Unit
xaminer R. Kobert
Attorney's Docket No MI22-2379

Title: Method and Apparatus for Testing Semiconductor Circuitry for Operability and Method of Forming Apparatus for Testing Semiconductor Circuitry for Operability

## **EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF MAY 11, 2005**

To:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

From:

D. Brent Kenady

Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424

Wells St. John P.S.

601 West First Avenue, Suite 1300

Spokane, WA 99201-3828

Applicant's representative held an interview with Examiner Kobert on May 11, 2005. Applicant's representative would like to thank Examiner Kobert for his time and attention to this matter.

The objection to the drawings for allegedly not showing all the positively recited features of claim 46 was discussed.

Additionally, the objection against claim 46 for the specification allegedly not disclosing the positively recited features of claim 46 was discussed.

Agreement was reached. The Examiner agreed that both objections were should be withdrawn and requested that Applicant's representative make a statement to that effect in the accompanying response, in addition to presenting the exemplary embodiment discussed during the interview that clearly supports claim 46. Applicant's representative has done so in the response filed herewith.

Applicant's representative is greatly appreciative of the efforts and time put in to this matter by Examiner Kobert.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 5-16-05

D. Brent Kenady

Reg. No. 40,045